



MICROGRANTS – GRADING CRITERIA

The POSNA Microgrants review process will follow similar guidelines as the POSNA Grant Review Guidelines for the initial grant review, which are derived from OREF to ensure objectivity and transparency

Five domains are considered and scored (1-9, whole numbers only):

- a. Relevance to POSNA mission, ability to advance POSNA mission.
- b. Originality, novel, innovative
- c. Methods (quality and clarity of the study design or description of the project)
- d. Investigator/institution background and potential for completion of project
- e. Feasibility- budget, timeline. Potential for this project leading to next step.

Impact	Global Score	Descriptor	Guidance on Strengths / Weaknesses
High Impact	1	Exceptional	Exceptionally strong with essentially no weaknesses
	2	Outstanding	Extremely strong with negligible weaknesses
	3	Excellent	Very strong with only some minor weaknesses
Medium Impact	4	Very Good	Strong but with numerous minor weaknesses
	5	Good	Strong but with at least one moderate weakness
	6	Satisfactory	Some strengths but also some moderate weaknesses
Low Impact	7	Fair	Some strengths but with at least one major weakness
	8	Marginal	A few strengths and a few major weaknesses
	9	Poor	Very few strengths and numerous major weaknesses

